

**IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT**

United States Court of Appeals
Fifth Circuit

FILED

August 21, 2012

Lyle W. Cayce
Clerk

No. 11-30917
Conference Calendar

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee

v.

STEVEN HEBERT,

Defendant-Appellant

Appeals from the United States District Court
for the Eastern District of Louisiana
USDC No. 2:09-CR-154-1

Before SMITH, ELROD, and SOUTHWICK, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:*

The attorney appointed to represent Steven Hebert has moved for leave to withdraw and has filed a brief in accordance with *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), and *United States v. Flores*, 632 F.3d 229 (5th Cir. 2011). Hebert has filed a response. The record is insufficiently developed to allow consideration at this time of Hebert's claim of ineffective assistance of counsel; such a claim generally "cannot be resolved on direct appeal when the claim has not been raised before the district court since no opportunity existed to develop

* Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

No. 11-30917

the record on the merits of the allegations.” *United States v. Cantwell*, 470 F.3d 1087, 1091 (5th Cir. 2006) (internal quotation marks and citation omitted). We have reviewed counsel’s brief and the relevant portions of the record reflected therein, as well as Hebert’s response. We concur with counsel’s assessment that the appeal presents no nonfrivolous issue for appellate review. Accordingly, counsel’s motion for leave to withdraw is GRANTED, counsel is excused from further responsibilities herein, and the APPEAL IS DISMISSED. *See* 5TH CIR. R. 42.2. Hebert’s untimely request for appointment of new counsel on appeal is DENIED.